

**Table 1)** Comparison of the frequency of demographic variables in intervention and control groups before the educational intervention (n=40 per group; numbers in parentheses are percentages)

<b>Variables</b>	<b>Control group</b>	<b>Intervention group</b>	<b>P-value</b>
<b>Gender</b>			
Female	27 (67.5)	28 (70.0)	0.809
Male	13 (32.5)	12 (30.0)	
<b>Marital status</b>			
Single	0	1 (2.5)	0.58
Married	34 (85.0)	34 (85.0)	
Widow	6 (15.0)	5 (12.5)	
<b>Occupation</b>			
Employee	2 (5.0)	0	0.456
Retired	9 (22.5)	6 (15.0)	
Housewife	24 (60.0)	27 (67.5)	
None-governmental	5 (12.5)	7 (17.5)	
<b>Education</b>			
Below diploma	31 (77.5)	33 (82.5)	0.548
Diploma	7 (17.5)	-15.6	
Associate degree	2 (5.0)	0	
University		1 (2.5)	
<b>Family income</b>			
Low	21 (52.5)	15 (37.5)	0.076
Moderate	18 (45.0)	18 (45.0)	
Good	1 (2.5)	7 (17.5)	
<b>Current treatment of diabetes</b>			
Oral medicine	22 (55.0)	24 (60.0)	0.061
Insulin	1 (2.5)	6 (15.0)	
Both	17 (42.5)	10 (25.0)	
<b>Family history of diabetes</b>			
Yes	27 (67.5)	20 (50.0)	0.112
No	13 (32.5)	20 (50.0)	

**Table 2)** Comparison of the mean scores of treatment adherence between the intervention and control groups before and after training

<b>Stage</b>	<b>Score range</b>	<b>Control group</b>	<b>Intervention group</b>	<b>Results of one-way analysis of covariance</b>
<b>Before intervention</b>	13-65	46.05±4.71	42.05±4.28	F=15.89; p< 0.001
<b>After intervention</b>	13-65	47.45±4.46	52.45±3.59	F=44.01; p< 0.001
<b>Paired T-test results</b>	-	t=-1.50; p< 0.001	t=-20.05; p=0.141	-