Table 1) Comparison between Teaching Quality Aspects in Different Departments based on Survey Questionnaire and SEEQ

Aspects of Teaching Quality	Public Health	Professional Health	Environmental Health	P Value	
Based on Survey Questionnaire					
Personal Characteristics of the Faculty Members	3.034±0.808	3.049±0.693	3.256±0.737	0.001	
Teaching Method of the Faculty Member	2.857±0.831	2.791±0.845	3.131±0.709	0.001	
Scientific Ability of the Faculty Member	3.071±0.840	3.137±0.974	3.254±0.790	0.115	
Overall	2.930±0.785	2.914±0.785	3.201±0.675	0.001	
Based on SEEQ					
Learning	3.632±1.054	3.527±1.152	3.781±0.958	0.205	
Teacher's Interests	3.680±1.026	3.542±1.177	3.904±0.880	0.019	
Organizing the Content	3.745±0.999	3.526±1.150	3.861±0.921	0.047	
Group Interaction	3.631±1.122	3.519±1.232	3.799±0.938	0.286	
Teacher-Student Relationships	3.771±1.066	3.574±1.222	3.865±0.944	0.245	
Comprehensiveness of the Content	3.678±1.092	3.630±1.163	3.809±0.923	0.618	
Examination	3.473±1.137	3.237±1.181	3.532±1.033	0.121	
Homework	3.521±1.106	3.402±1.175	3.589±1.009	0.515	
Overall Evaluation	3.652±1.082	3.514±1.176	3.814±0.965	0.088	
Total	3.706±0.920	3.577±1.074	3.818±0.816	0.338	

Table 2) Comparison between Overall Score of Faculty Members' Evaluation by Students based on Faculty Ranking, Gender, Level of Education and Field of Study of the Students based on Survey Questionnaire and SEEQ

Research Variables	Survey Questionnaire	SEEQ			
Faculty Ranking of the Faculty Members					
Instructor	3.570±1.050	3.610±0.916			
Assistant Professor	3.730±1.050	3.750±0.879			
Associate Professor	3.840±1.000	3.920±0.885			
P Value	0.045	0.017			
Gender of the Student					
Male	3.080±0.770	3.740±0.900			
Female	3.130±0.690	3.760±0.890			
P Value	0.540	0.624			
Students` Level of Education					
Associate	2.971±0.583	3.400±0.947			
Under graduate	3.149±0.702	3.776±0.881			
Graduate	2.838±1.038	3.817±0.921			
P Value	0.013	0.011			
Students` Field of Study					
Professional Health	2.881±0.727	3.599±1.028			
Public Health	3.063±0.711	3.636±0.868			
Environmental Health	3.217±0.703	3.844±0.851			
P Value	0.001	0.005			

Table 3) Comparison between Faculty Members` Evaluation Scores based on Working Experience, Popularity, Course Difficulty, Working Load of Each Course, Predictability of Achieving Good Scores and Interest in Each Course based on Survey Questionnaire and SEEQ using Pearson Correlation Coefficient Test

Research Variables	Survey Questionnaire	SEEQ			
Working Experience of Faculty Members	3				
Correlation Coefficient	0.135	0.102			
P Value	0.001	0.008			
Faculty Members` Popularity					
Correlation Coefficient	0.014	-0.727			
P Value	0.726	0.001			
Course Difficulty					
Correlation Coefficient	0.027	0.067			
P Value	0.501	0.086			
Working Load of Each Course					
Correlation Coefficient	0.011	0.152			
P Value	0.775	0.001			
Predictability of Achieving Good Scores					
Correlation Coefficient	0.003	0.018			
P Value	0.931	0.650			
Interest in Each Course					
Correlation Coefficient	0.011	0.442			
P Value	0.778	0.001			