Table 1) Comparison of demographic variables between intervention and control groups

	Table 1) Comparison of demographic variables between intervention and control groups								
			Intervention Control Significance						
	Demographic Variables			Persons)	_	ersonsj	Level		
			N	%	N	%	БСТСТ		
		12-15	6	26	1	3.7			
	Age (Year)	16-19	9	39	17	63	0.268		
		20-23	8	35	9	33.3			
	Habitat	Urban	20	87.1	18	88.9	0.094		
	Habitat	Rural	3	12.9	9	11.1	0.094		
		Seventh Grade	4	17.2	1	3.7			
		Ninth Grade	5	21.7	4	14.8			
	Education Level	First Grade of High School	4	17.2	8	29.6	0.393		
		Second Grade of High School	5	21.7	9	33.3			
		Third Grade of High School	5	21.7	5	18.5			
		2 and 3	1	4.3	3	11.1			
	Family Dimension	4 and5	13	56.4	12	44.4			
		6 and 7	8	34.7	9	33.3	0.723		
		8 and 9	1	4.3	3	11.1			
		First	9	39.1	7	25.9			
		Second	9	39.1	9	33.3			
	Birth Rank	Third	2	8.7	3	11.1	0.523		
		Fourth	2	8.7	2	7.4	0.525		
		Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh	1	4.3	6	22.2			
	Mother's Education	Illiterate	8	34.7	16	59.3			
			15	65.3	10	37.3	0.088		
		Diploma	0	0	1	3.7	0.000		
		Illiterate	8	34.8	10	3.7			
		Less than Diploma	13	56.5	16	59.3			
	Father's Education	Diploma	1	4.3	1	3.7	0.882		
		Higher than Diploma	1	4.3	0	0			
		Employed	0	0	1	3.7			
,	Mathar's Ossupation	Housewife/House Husband	22	95.7	25	92.5	0.995		
	Mother's Occupation	•	1	4.3	1	3.7	0.993		
		Self-Employed							
	Father's Education	Employed Worker	1 13	4.3 56.5	2 17	7.4 63	0.727		
							0.737		
		Self-Employed	9	39.2	8	29.6			
	TY:	Without Injury	18	81.5	17	63	0.440		
	History of Injury	Minor Injury	5	18.5	8	29.6	0.412		
		Severe Injury	0	0	2	7.4			
	Disability	Deaf	6	26	8	29.5	0.781		
	210001110	Semi-deaf	17	74	19	70.5	0.7.02		

Formatted Table

Table 2) Comparison ofmean scores of awareness, health belief model structures and preventive behaviors of students before and after intervention in control and intervention groups

Model	Crown	Before	After	*Significance	
Constructs	Group	Intervention	Intervention	Level	
	Intervention	58.93±15.83	73.43±17.35	0.002	
Knowledge	Control	57.2±18.91	60.08±16.94	0.586	
	**Significance Level	0.730	0.008	-	
Perceived Susceptibility	Intervention		71.73±11.75	0.176	
	Control	67.40±13.93	62.22±10.93	0.164	
	Significance Level	0.908	0.005	-	
Perceived Severity	Intervention	42.75±11.01	74.49±12.89	0.001	
	Control	38.64±12.80	47.53±13.15	0.016	
Severity	Significance Level	0.235	0.001	-	
Perceived	Intervention	33.15±12.20	65.94±18.53	0.001	
benefits	Control	30.24±8.84	31.79±12.12	0.560	
belletits	Significance Level	0.337	0.001	-	
Perceived Barriers	Intervention	70.70±11.60	67.70±19.22	0.505	
	Control	68.51±14.10	71.34±19.94	0.561	
	Significance Level	0.559	0.516	-	
cues to Action	Intervention	35.30±8.29	71.94±12.07	0.001	
	Control	32.24±13.15	38.71±16.11	0.192	
	Significance Level	0.521	0.001	-	
Self-Efficacy	Intervention	33.93±8.45	71.61±8.66	0.001	
	Control	32.30±10.48	43±15.83	0.001	
	Significance Level	0.552	0.001	-	
Performance	Intervention	80.30±12.74	91.30±7.49	0.001	
	Control	85.40±12.88	85.18±15.24	0.941	
	Significance Level	0.168	0.086	-	

^{*}Results are based on paired t-test; **Results are based on independent t-test

Formatted: Justified	
Formatted Table	
Formatted: Justified	
Formatted Table	
Formatted: Justified	
Formatted Table	
Formatted: Justified	
Formatted Table	
Formatted: Justified	