Demographic variables	Experimental group	Control group	P value
Age group (year)	Browh	Browp	
20-30	17 (33.3)	14 (27.5)	0.872
31-40	17 (33.3)	19 (37.3)	
41-50	10 (19.6)	9 (17.6)	
Over 50	7 (13.7)	9 (17.6)	
Gender			
Male	44 (86.3)	45 (88.2)	0.767
Female	7 (13.7)	6 (11.8)	
Marital status			
Single	15 (29.4)	11 (21.6)	0.363
Married	36 (70.6)	40 (78.4)	
Education			
Below diploma	12 (23.5)	10 (19.6)	0.608
Diploma	25 (49.0)	30 (58.8)	
Academic	14 (27.5)	11 (21.6)	
History of hookah consumption			
Never	20 (39.2)	21 (41.2)	0.808
Sometimes	30 (58.8)	28 (54.9)	
Always	1 (2.0)	2 (3.9)	
History of smoking			
Never	26 (51.0)	26 (51.0)	0.233
Sometimes	17 (33.3)	22 (43.1)	
Always	8 (15.7)	3 (5.9)	
Drug history			
Never	39 (76.5)	38 (74.5)	0.818
Sometimes	12 (23.5)	13 (25.5)	
History of using educational materials			
Never	11 (21.6)	21 (41.2)	0.075
Sometimes	35 (68.6)	28 (54.9)	
Always	5 (9.8)	2 (3.9)	
History of hookah supply (year)	27 (52.0)	10 (10 ()	0.002
	27 (52.9)	10 (19.6)	0.002
1-5	16 (31.4)	30 (58.8)	
>5	δ (15./)	11 (21.6)	
Income Vom little	11 (21 ()	((110)	0.072
very little	11 (21.6)	0 (11.8)	0.072
Little	19 (37.3)	32 (62.7)	
Moderate	18 (35.3)	13 (25.5)	
Much	2 (3.9)	0	

Table 1. Comparison of the absolute and relative frequencies of background variables in the experimental (n =51) and control (n = 51) groups before educational intervention

Very much	1 (2.0)	0	
Type of business			
Grocery stores	38 (74.5)	42 (82.4)	0.333
Cafes, restaurants	7 (13.7)	7 (13.7)	
Hookah store	6 (11.8)	2 (3.9)	

Table 2. Comparison of the mean scores of the theory of planned behavior constructs before and after the
educational intervention in the experimental and control groups

Theory constructs	Before After		P value *	
	intervention	intervention		
Attitude				
Experimental group	25.16±5.60	29.35±3.70	< 0.001	
Control group	25.22±5.10	25.37±4.20	0.571	
P value **	0.956	< 0.001	- [
Abstract norms				
Experimental group	25.25±6.70	27.57±5.60	< 0.001	
Control group	22.84±5.30	22.63±4.90	0.273	
P value **	0.049	< 0.001	- [
Perceived behavioral control				
Experimental group	18.86 ± 4.80	23.04±3.30	< 0.001	
Control group	17.14±3.20	17.82±2.60	0.003	
P value **	0.037	0.037 <0.001		
Intention to reduce hookah and tobacco supply				
Experimental group	11.88 ± 4.50	16.27±2.30	< 0.001	
Control group	11.24±2.90	11.61±2.20	0.103	
P value **	0.395	< 0.001	-	

* Paired T test results; ** Independent T test results

Table 3. Comparison of the mean difference of the theory of planned behavior theory constructs before and after the intervention in the experimental and control groups

Theory constructs	Experimental	Control	Independent	
	group	group	t value	
Positive attitude toward reducing hookah and	4.20±3.30	0.16±1.90	7.395	
tobacco supply				
Abstract norms of encouraging reducing hookah	2.31±3.30	0.22±1.30	4.943	
and tobacco supply				
Perceived behavioral control regarding reducing	4.18±3.70	0.69±1.50	6.184	
hookah and tobacco supply				
Intention to reduce hookah and tobacco supply	4.39±3.10	0.37 ± 1.60	8.286	

Significance level for all cases: p < 0.001

Table 4. Comparison of the difference (level of 100) of the constructs of the theory of planned behavior before and after the intervention in the experimental and control groups

Theory constructs	Experimental group		Control group			Interventio n effect size	
	Before	After	Differenc	Before	After	Differenc	
			е			e	
Positive attitude toward	64.8%	79.8%	15.0%	65.0%	65.6%	0.6%	14.4%
reducing hookah and							
tobacco supply							
Abstract norms of	65.1%	73.4%	8.3%	56.5%	55.8%	-0.7%	9.0%
encouraging reducing							
hookah and tobacco supply							
Perceived behavioral control	53.5%	71.0%	17 5%	46.4%	49.2%	2.8%	14.6%
regarding reducing hookah							
and tobacco supply							
intention to reduce hookah	49.2%	76.6%	27.4%	45.2%	47.5%	2.3%	25.1%
supply							