Table 1) the score of the indicators and the criteria of the indigenous houses according to the experts' scores

Classification of the indicators and patterns	Criteria	Score
1	Avoid inefficacy(maximum score:20)	
	1. Using indigenous	1
Homogeneity with the	materials	1
environment	Designing and	
environment	implementation using the	1
	least stuff	
Logic and beauty	3. Response to the function	5
20810 11111 2011109	4. Being purposeful	4
G: 1: :	5. Avoid the waste of space	3
Simplicity	6. Minimum decoration	5
	7. Brightness and clearance	1
	Confidentiality (maximum score:62)	4
	 Lack of window to outside The yard surrounded by 	4
Introversion	the rooms	3
	10. Lack of self-glorification	1
	11. Not using the windows in	
	the first floor	5
	12. Sense of being surrounded	
Privacy and security	in the yard	3
	13. Use of door knocker	2
	14. Reduction of the interior	
	part visibility	5
	15. Smaller personal rooms	1
	16. Reduction in the height of	4
	the space	4
Spatial proportion	17. High height of the royal	
	salon (Shahneshin) in the	1
	size of two floors	
	18. Taller extrinsic walls	4
	19. Loyal space in the line	1
	with the yard	<u>*</u>
	20. Use of fewer windows in	3
4 logation	private places	
4. location	21. The space partitioning in	4
	various floors	
	22. Entrance, bathroom, and	3
	private space (at the corner of the plan)	3
	23. Entrance door and the	
	alley level difference	1
	24. Using the connecting	
5. Zoning	corridors, yard, and porch	4
	25. Space separation to	
	private and public space	4
	26. Obstacles for the direct	-
C Win 1	vision from outside	5
6. Hierarchy	27. From the whole to the	4
	parts	4
	Introversion (maximum score:23)	
1.Hierarchy	28. Spatial patterns (open,	3
1.iller at City	semi-open, and close	3
	29. Lack of windows to	4
	outside	4
2. Privacy	30. The yard surrounded by	5
2.111140	rooms	J
	31. Using opening higher than	2
	the level of vision	
3. physical unity	32. central private yard	5

	33. locating the Panjdari (a	1						
4 modulation of the amount	large main hall) parallel to each other	1						
4. reduction of the space attractiveness	34. simple materials	1						
atti attivelless	35. making space longer							
	toward the royal space	2						
Holism and unity (maximum score: 33)								
	36. increase and decrease in							
1. multifunctionality of	the space according to the	5						
space and components	building's area)							
(flexibility)	37. multifunctional use of the	4						
	space							
	38. use of sash windows	1						
	39. the size and height of the room and door according							
	to their function in rooms,	5						
	sitting rooms, Panjdari,	J						
	and Sedari							
	40. shading the yard using							
	small partitions in the	1						
2. attention to social equity	façade							
account to obtain equity	41. proper placement of the	4						
	building's components							
	42. enjoy the benefits of central yard	3						
	43. the geometry	3						
	44. the façade and building							
	volume: unity in façade	4						
	and body							
	45. building's body balance	3						
	Populism (Maximum score: 60)							
1 justice and social equity	46. Neutralize visual forces in	1						
1. justice and social equity	the façade 47. Central hall in the façade	1						
	48. the building homogeneity	1						
2. maintenance and	with the environment	3						
improvement of the	(façade and plan)							
neighbors' relationships	49. use of similar materials	3						
	50. doors not facing eachother							
	51. the entrance with distance							
3. impinge on other	from the passage and	4						
people's rights	creating a resting place in the way							
	52. a corridor for greeting,							
	some seats in the porch	4						
A paymona attention to	53. territorialism, space							
4. pay more attention to kinship	division according to the	5						
Killollip	hierarchy							
	54. proportions	5						
5. pay attention to the	55. using wooden grids to	3						
human needs	double the roof 56. control sound							
	permeability	5						
	57. separation of humid places	2						
	from others	3						
6. social and cultural	58. separation of bathroom	4						
factors	from other spaces	Ŧ						
	59. separation of the guests'	4						
	hall from other spaces							
	60. using shelves and niches	1						
7. functional decorations	to lighten the building 61. rhythm in the façade	3						
	62. use of knotting and	3						
	ob. accordinations and	3						

	geometric shapes in				
	designing the space				
	63. use of Karbandi (an				
	Iranian structure) in false	4			
	ceiling				
	elf-sufficiency (maximum score: 18)				
1. unpretentiousness	64. simple forms and shapes				
2. maximum co-existence	65. using local and natural	-			
with the environment	material (brick and brick	5			
	columns)				
	66. using rhythmic geometry	1			
3. folk and popular	in the building 67. proper and homogenous				
	height	1			
4. the priority of the					
spiritually over the	68. simple structures and	3			
material things	physic	3			
5. using the available					
technology	69. arch	5			
	70. the ability to change the				
6. flexibility	spaces and their size	1			
the relation	onship with the nature (maximum score:1	5)			
1. mass pattern and	71. yard (internal and				
outdoor	external)	4			
	72. summer and winter space	3			
	73. yard on one side	3			
2 huildings ognost	74. central yard: pool, yard				
2. buildings' aspect	level difference,	5			
	symmetrical flower bed,	5			
	stone bench, and etc.				
	Conceptual (maximum score: 27)				
	75. shapes originated from	5			
	numbers and geometry				
1. relationship with the	76. natural materials	1			
nature	77. Natural beauty	1			
	78. Use of color	4			
	79. Use of natural light	2			
	80. Interior façade symmetry 81. Yard	1			
2. Quality-oriented		2			
	82. pool in the middle of the	3			
3. the relationship	yard				
between space and	83. use if wind-catcher to	3			
facade	determine the qibla	3			
	84. cultural symbol,	_			
4. cognitive identity	traditional patterns	5			
Continuity and evolution (maximum score: 22)					
GOILLII	uity and evolution (maximum score: 22)				
- Contin	uity and evolution (maximum score: 22) 85. cognitive identity	4			
1. meaningfulness					
	85. cognitive identity	4 5			
	85. cognitive identity 86. understanding the				
 meaningfulness hierarchy Relationship between 	85. cognitive identity 86. understanding the concepts 87. Spatial continuity 88. Orientation: navigation	5			
 meaningfulness hierarchy 	85. cognitive identity 86. understanding the concepts 87. Spatial continuity	5 3			

Table 2) the scores sum and mean in 9 target groups

Pattern classes	Maximum score	Ahmadiyan house	Barati house	Mousavi house (Parishani)	Salari house	Zarif house	Bani Hashemi house	Davari house	Scores' average
Conceptual	20	11	10	13	11	13	12	9	11.29
Relationship									
with the	62	32	39	45	36	42	48	35	39.57
environment									
Populism	23	13	14	17	8	15	19	11	13.86
Introversion	33	18	23	25	20	21	27	17	21.57
Confidentially	60	35	39	50	51	44	46	32	42.43
Holism and unity	15	7	8	10	4	12	11	7	8.43
Continuity and evolution	27	10	13	14	15	11	12	10	12.14
Self- sufficiency	22	9	12	14	10	16	13	8	11.71
Avoid inefficacy	18	10	12	14	13	11	10	9	11.29
Total	280	145	170	202	168	185	198	138	172.29

Table 3) weight Matrix of the factors in comparison to the target

Studied houses	Ahmadiyan house	Barati house	Mousavi house (Parishani)	Salari house	Zarif house	Bani Hashemi house	Davari house	Weight
Ahmadiyan house	0.027	0.008	0.055	0.007	0.014	0.024	0.104	0.034
Barati house	0.165	0.056	0.092	0.098	0.016	0.041	0.145	0.088
Mousavi house (Parishani)	0.220	0.280	0.460	0.295	0.416	0.619	0.187	0.354
Salari house	0.165	0.028	0.073	0.049	0.020	0.033	0.166	0.076
Zarif house	0.193	0.337	0.115	0.024	0.104	0.051	0.187	0.176
Bani Hashemi house	0.220	0.280	0.152	0.295	0.416	0.206	0.187	0.251
Davari house	0.005	0.077	0.050	0.005	0.011	0.022	0.020	0.017
Total	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Table 4) weight Matrix of the options and criterion

Pattern factors	Davari house	Bani Hashemi house	Zarif house	Salari house	Mousavi (Parishani) house	Barati house	Ahmadiyan house
Conceptual	0.0420	0.0516	0.0596	0.0696	0.0295	0.0223	0.1181
Relationship with the environment	0.2806	0.1368	0.1172	0.1029	0.0827	0.1192	0.0759
Populism	0.0818	0.2782	0.0596	0.0249	0.1057	0.0954	0.1865
Introversion	0.1617	0.2330	0.0439	0.1740	0.1570	0.2809	0.1181
Confidentially	0.2350	0.1430	0.1803	0.2715	0.2524	0.1476	0.2725
Holism and unity	0.0558	0.0813	0.3151	0.0132	0.0490	0.0337	0.0396
Continuity and evolution	0.0192	0.0131	0.0133	0.0766	0.0106	0.0147	0.0150
Self- sufficiency	0.0150	0.0391	0.1803	0.0400	0.0252	0.0502	0.0225
Avoid inefficacy	0.1084	0.0234	0.0302	0.2259	0.2784	0.2355	0.1512

Table 5) the final weight of the criterion in comparison to the target

Criterion	Final weight
Conceptual	0.0461
Relationship with the environment	0.1104
Populism	0.1362
Introversion	0.1671
Confidentially	0.2048
Holism and unity	0.0998
Continuity and evolution	0.0175
Self- sufficiency	0.0591
Avoid inefficacy	0.1584