$\textbf{Table 1.} \ Comparison \ of absolute \ and \ relative \ frequency \ of the \ demographic \ characteristics \ of the \ motorcyclists \ (n=326)$

Factor	Absolute frequency	Relative frequency	P value
Age, year			
20>	32	9.8	0.42
20- 29	122	37.4	
30-39	86	26.4	
49-40	50	15.3	
50<	36	11	
Marital status			
Married	200	61.3	< 0.001
Single	126	38.7	
Educational level			
Below diploma	91	27.9	0.025
Diploma	117	35.9	
University education	118	36.2	
Occupation			
Non-governmental occupation	160	49.1	0.002
Employed	64	19.6	
Manual worker	59	18.1	
Non-employed	43	13.2	
Monthly income, million toman			
1>	182	55.8	0.885
1-3	126	38.7	
3<	18	5.5	
History of driving, year			
Less than 10	198	60.7	0.002
More than 10	128	39.3	
History of being fined			
Have	157	48.2	0.079
Do not have	169	51.8	
History of accident			
Have	173	53.1	0.096
Do not have	153	46.9	

Table 2. The Correlation Between the Constructs of Protection Motivation Theory (PMT) and Using Helmets in Motorcyclists in Qom City

Factor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1- Perceived vulnerability	1							
2- Perceived severity	0.068	1						
3- Self-efficacy	-0.424**	0.347**	1					
4- Response costs	0.337**	-0.056	-0.397**	1				
5- Response efficacy	-0.076	0.470**	0.371**	0.049	1			
6- Perceived rewards	0.352**	0.052	-0.344**	0.351**	0.036	1		
7- The fear	-0.076	0.256**	0.357**	-0.002	0.396**	0.001	1	
8- Protection Motivation	-0.269**	0.374**	0.681**	-0.313**	0.375**	-0.299**	0.258**	1
9- Using helmets	-0.230**	0.220**	0.456**	-0.299**	0.191**	-0.170**	0.131*	0.460**

^{*}p<0.01; **p<0.05